

## FEEDBACK

### Mohamed Shafik

Construction Law & Practice Masters  
Liability & Complexity Module  
Bodega Complex Problem Scenario – 100% of Module Marks  
Brodie McAdam (Module Tutor)

### ASSESSMENT APPROACH

Feedback is provided in relation to each task against each criterion in Grade form and in relation to the task as a whole in narrative form, culminating in a percentage Task Mark. The total mark for the assessment is simply the sum of the weighted Task Marks.

*The marks stated below do not take account of any late submission or academic misconduct penalties which may apply, and are subject to ratification by the Exam Board.*

GRADE DESCRIPTION	GRADE
Outstanding performance against criteria	A
Good performance against criteria	B
Adequate performance against criteria	C
Inadequate performance against criteria	F

Task 1 Feedback	Grade/Mark
Brevity and clarity of writing style	B
Ability to develop an argument supported by accurately cited relevant evidence and/or authority	A
Depth of understanding of relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to clearly articulate relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to apply concepts to a given scenario	A
Capacity for critical and original thought	A
<b>Task 1 Mark (10% weighting)</b>	<b>70%</b>

Appropriate and thorough.

<b>Task 2 Feedback</b>	<b>Grade/Mark</b>
Brevity and clarity of writing style	A
Ability to develop an argument supported by accurately cited relevant evidence and/or authority	A
Depth of understanding of relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to clearly articulate relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to apply concepts to a given scenario	A
Capacity for critical and original thought	A
<b>Task 2 Mark (10% weighting)</b>	<b>71%</b>
And again, perhaps even a little more effective.	

<b>Task 3 Feedback</b>	<b>Grade/Mark</b>
Brevity and clarity of writing style	A
Ability to develop an argument supported by accurately cited relevant evidence and/or authority	A
Depth of understanding of relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to clearly articulate relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to apply concepts to a given scenario	A
Capacity for critical and original thought	A
<b>Task 3 Mark (25% weighting)</b>	<b>73%</b>
Re limitation, you suggest that it accrues when it was noticed, whereas <i>Pirelli</i> says that is not the test, albeit practically speaking it often may be. That aside well argued and substantiated.	

<b>Task 4 Feedback</b>	<b>Grade/Mark</b>
Brevity and clarity of writing style	A
Ability to develop an argument supported by accurately cited relevant evidence and/or authority	A
Depth of understanding of relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to clearly articulate relevant legal concepts	A
Ability to apply concepts to a given scenario	A
Capacity for critical and original thought	A
<b>Task 4 Mark (30% weighting)</b>	<b>74%</b>
<p>Good and thorough, grappling with all the key issues and properly supporting by ref to case law.</p>	

<b>Task 5/6 Feedback</b>	<b>Grade/Mark</b>
Brevity and clarity of writing style	
Ability to develop an argument supported by accurately cited relevant evidence and/or authority	
Depth of understanding of relevant legal concepts	
Ability to clearly articulate relevant legal concepts	
Ability to apply concepts to a given scenario	
Capacity for critical and original thought	
<b>Task 5/6 Mark (25% weighting)</b>	<b>72%</b>
<p>Another good piece of work on what I gathered from the Wimba sessions was your favourite topic :) Well done.</p>	

<b>General Comments</b>
Word length and case table seem appropriate.

<b>TOTAL MARK</b>	<b>73%</b>
-------------------	------------